



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,381	05/11/2001	Min-Chih Hsuan	7124-US-PA	6442
759	0 07/28/2003			
J.C. Patents, Inc.	C		EXAMINER	
Suite 250			KIM, AHSHIK	
Irvine, CA 92618	8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/853,381	HSUAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahshik Kim	2876	
	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOI oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely PLY [check either a) or b)]	R ALLOWANCE. Ition. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The effee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of the c	extension and the corresponding amoune shortened statutory period for reply on a later than three months after the maili	unt of the fee. The appropriately	opriate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	·	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE: .	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	5 .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: See	econsideration has been consideration Sheet.	lered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared by the proposed amendment (s).	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 10-14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement10. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
	3	MICHAEL G. LE PERVISORY PATENT I ECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is Examiner's view that the claimed invention is taught by the Castleman patent and Castleman in view of Batson.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-5 and 10-14 remain rejected as set forth in Final Office Action (See paper #6).